

# Canada: The Grand Deception



TRUTH11.COM

Jan 30, 2024 14 min



ActivistPost.com

Timm Stein

Part 1

Subscribe

On July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2017, Canada celebrated its 150<sup>th</sup> anniversary. Across the country there were fantastic celebrations, children with Canada flags painted on their cheeks, and proud Canadians dressing their cars in Canada flags. It had been 150 years since Canada confederated and become an independent country. But did it really?

The basis for this celebration goes all the way back to 1867. On March 29<sup>th</sup>, 1867, the British North America (BNA) Act received Royal Assent (meaning it was formally approved by the ruling monarch at the time, Queen Victoria). It went into effect on July 1<sup>st</sup>, 1867. Since then, July 1<sup>st</sup> has been celebrated as Canada Day and the majority of people refer to this BNA Act as the Constitution of Canada. This BNA Act of 1867 was not and is not a constitution. In 1864, at the *Quebec Conference*, delegates from the five British North American colonies met to discuss and form a proposed confederation, a unification into a single country. The delegates agreed on a set of 72 resolutions known as the *Quebec Resolutions*. From these 72 resolutions, the BNA Act of 1867 adopted only those that were beneficial to the British monarchy. The final BNA Act was actually drafted without any input from delegates from the colonies. The colonists were ultimately betrayed by Sir John A. Macdonald. Let's look at the BNA Act of 1867 in more detail.

The BNA Act of 1867 defines the Union of Upper Canada, Lower Canada, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick as *One Dominion under the Name of Canada*. The Province of Upper Canada would become the Province of Ontario, and the Province of Lower Canada would become the Province of Quebec. However, what exactly is a *Dominion*? The Interpretations Act of 1889, Section 18, Paragraph 3, written by the Imperial Parliament, defines a *dominion* to be a British *colony*. Therefore, Canada was clearly proclaimed to be a **British colony**. By definition a colony is not a free, independent country. It should therefore be clear that **Canada did not confederate in 1867**. As further evidence, there are no Articles of Confederation anywhere to be found. There is also no original copy of the signed BNA Act of 1867 available in Canada, because this document is the sole property of the British monarchy.

**Section 2** of the BNA Act of 1867 declares that the provisions of this Act also extend to the Queen's and King's heirs and successors. This section 2 is important, and we will come back to it shortly!

**Section 109** of the BNA Act of 1867 states that “*all Lands, Mines, Minerals, and Royalties belonging to the several Provinces of Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick at the Union [...] shall belong to the several Provinces of Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia and New Brunswick [...].*” Taking into consideration that Canada was proclaimed to be a British colony, this means that all natural resources of those lands belong to the British monarchy. This section 109 is also important, and we will come back to it later!

In the years that followed, more land was added to the Dominion of Canada through the Rupert's Land Act (1868), the Manitoba Act (1870), the British Columbia Terms of Union (1871), and the Prince Edward Island Terms of Union (1873).

On June 19<sup>th</sup>, 1893, the Statute Law Revision Act was passed. **This is an extremely important document!** Through this Act, Queen Victoria explicitly repealed Section 2 of the BNA Act of 1867. Recall what I mentioned a few paragraphs earlier what Section 2 is about! As a result, through this repeal, **absolutely no heir or successor to the Queen and King would have any power or authority over Canada once Queen Victoria dies!** This Statute Law Revision Act of 1893 was never repealed after it was passed, Section 2 of the BNA Act was never re-enacted, and when Queen Victoria died on January 22, 1901, not only did the entire BNA Act of 1867 become null and void, but the **British monarchy immediately also lost ALL power and authority over the land mass referred to as Canada.** The importance of this fact cannot be stressed enough! What this means for the land mass that was defined as Canada in the BNA Act of 1867, is that it became truly independent. Each Province and Territory became an independent nation/country when Queen Victoria died! All people became independent and autonomous. The term “sovereign” is deceiving, because it does not mean autonomous, self-governing or free, but it is defined as someone who possesses supreme political power or authority, such as a king.

After the death of Queen Victoria, it was impossible for the British monarchy to repeal any previously passed Act or to resurrect any previously passed Act, because the British monarchy had lost ALL power and authority over Canada. Read this again to make sure you fully understand the importance of this!

**Since January 22, 1901, any and all government and Governors General have been de facto (i.e., exercising power as if legally constituted)! Since all government, Governors General and monarchy have been illegitimate, all laws, acts, declarations and statutes have also been illegitimate ever since. For example, the Income Tax Act of 1948 was unlawfully implemented by an illegitimate Governor General (Harold Alexander of Tunis) in conjunction with an illegitimate monarch (King George VI). The Act is null and void, and the act of passing it was treason. However, it appears that at the time the people did not realize what had really happened. Maybe they did not pay attention and missed the extremely important Statute Law Revision Act of 1893. It appears, though, that the British monarchy and the government at the time took advantage of this and continued as if nothing had changed.**

On December 10, 1931, the Statute of Westminster was passed in the British Parliament. Section 11 of this Statute clearly states that the term “colony” does no longer include a Dominion or any Province forming part of a Dominion after this Act is passed. This Statute simply reaffirmed the facts described in the previous two paragraphs and put them in writing. Each Province and Territory was already an independent nation, and all people were already independent and autonomous. However, it appears that nobody paid attention and thus the illegitimate government, Governor General and monarchy simply continued with the deceit. One might wonder why they did that. This is where Section 109 of the BNA Act of 1867 comes in (recall what I mentioned about Section 109 a few paragraphs earlier!). Canada was so rich in natural resources that the illegitimate leaders did not want to miss out on the opportunity to enrich themselves! Hence, the already de facto government quietly continued and has robbed the free, autonomous people of their wealth ever since.

In 1952, the Royal Style and Titles Act was passed. Passed by who? By an illegitimate government and monarchy. However, what is more ironic is the contents of this Act: Queen Elizabeth II proclaimed herself to be the Queen of Canada. We know that after Queen Victoria's death the British monarchy had no power or authority over Canada. Proclaiming Elizabeth II as the new Queen of Canada was yet another treasonous act against the free, autonomous people.

It appears that Pierre Elliott Trudeau knew these facts and tried to use them to his advantage. In 1982, as an illegitimate prime minister, Trudeau changed the title of the

already null and void BNA Act 1867 to the *Canada Act 1982*. He named Schedule B of the Canada Act 1982 the *Constitution Act 1982* (which is also known as the ***Charter of Rights and Freedoms***). He fooled the people by giving them the illusion that they had a constitution! But what is more important is the Proclamation of 1982. This proclamation did not make the Canada Act 1982 come into force. It merely stated that another proclamation would be needed to do that. And in order to ratify the Canada Act 1982, all Provinces had to sign it. Quebec refused to sign it because of Section 59 of the Act. **It is extremely important to know that the Canada Act 1982, including the Constitution Act 1982 (which is the Charter of Rights and Freedoms) was NEVER ratified!** It was never passed. What does this mean?

Many people have been protesting and referring to their “constitutional rights” or the rights and freedoms given to them by the “Charter”. However, **nobody in Canada has these rights because the Charter was never ratified and there is no constitution! (Funny enough, the people are already free, independent and autonomous) That is also why the politicians, the government and the courts seem to ignore the Charter.** The courts likely side with the government because of Section 1 of the Charter of Rights and Freedoms, which gives the government the option to ignore the Charter. Furthermore, **Section 32 of the Charter clearly states that it applies to government only and not to private individuals.** How come the ***Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms*** has not talked about these facts? It is nearly impossible to challenge any of this in court because the courts are part of the illegitimate system. It appears that most judges and lawyers would know this, but this system has also worked well for them. Over the years, the illegitimate government tried a few more times to pressure Quebec into signing the Act but failed each time. Since then, they have simply continued with the lies and the deceit. Fast forward to the horrific actions undertaken by the illegitimate government of Justin Trudeau over the past 3+ years, including the use of brutal force and police violence against free, autonomous people. Canada has been ruled by an illegitimate and at times horrific dictatorship since January 22, 1901.

What exactly is “Canada,” though? Many people do not know that the term “Canada” does not refer to the land mass that we all think it is. The Interpretations Act of 1985 defines Canada as follows: “*Canada, for greater certainty, includes the internal waters of Canada and the territorial sea of Canada.*” Further, “*Canadian waters includes the territorial sea of Canada and the internal waters of Canada.*” Why is the land mass not

mentioned? The key word here is “includes”. A universal Maxim of Common Law, which Canada was founded upon, is that “*the inclusion of one is the exclusion of all others.*” **This means that, since the land is not explicitly included, you are only “in” Canada when you are “in” any of the waters within Canada or that surround Canada. When you are on the land, you are not “in” Canada.** It appears that the illegitimate government must know exactly what the truth is, but they have been hiding it! How does this definition apply to property taxes, which the illegitimate government takes from the people under threat of seizing their property or sending them to jail? How does this definition apply to any and all restrictions and mandates (which are **not** laws!) that the illegitimate government forced on the free, autonomous people on the land, the same land which is excluded from the definition of “Canada”? What is “Crown Land” then? By now, you will likely have as many questions as I have.

Some politicians call me a liar and claim that none of this history matters. **But it does!** These politicians think that since Canada has been recognized internationally as a sovereign state, and since the courts recognize Canada as a sovereign state, and since international trade agreements are in place, we should just let history be and move forward. These politicians may have a (false) legacy and illegitimate wealth to protect, and maybe they fail to fully understand the indisputable facts, but if they were genuinely interested in the independence of Canada and the true rights and freedoms of the free, autonomous people, then they would help expose this immense fraud. Sadly, they choose not to. Instead, they choose to insult, ridicule and dismiss. Why?

Let’s look at Alberta’s recently passed *Sovereignty within a United Canada Act*, which is not only null and void (because all government has been illegitimate since 1901) and incorrect (since there is no such thing as a *United Canada* because each Province/Territory has been an independent nation since January 22, 1901), but when you look clearly at the details of this Act you will see that it is not written FOR the people of Alberta. It applies to “*persons*”, which are defined as “*corporations*” and not autonomous living beings. Furthermore, section 8 states that no cause of action can be taken by anyone against the government. Why would the government need to shield itself from the people? The *Alberta Sovereignty within a United Canada Act* is nothing more than a continuation of the same illegitimate and corrupt system but worded in a deceitful way to give the people yet another illusion. Go ahead and ask the Premier of Alberta about these facts and why there is no interest in helping the free people of



Alberta draft a true constitution! Is it because the premier would then have to admit that she is in fact illegitimate?

What about other politicians? There's a lot of talk about Pierre Poilievre and that he could potentially replace Justin Trudeau. It seems that people like to vote for the next guy that tells them exactly what they want to hear. Fact is that all of the political figures in Canada are illegitimate. And the fact that none of them talk about the important facts mentioned in this article might show you where they stand. Would it be fair to assume that the majority of them know these facts? But why would they admit that? It would render each one of them illegitimate immediately, their careers would end, their stream of high income would end, and they would likely have to pay back all the money they took illegitimately. Money unfortunately often brings out the dark side in people. They could actually be heroes for the people of Canada if they only chose to shed light on the truth and help the free, autonomous people of each Province/Territory create an assembly and a constitution. Instead, they choose to be ignorant and to continue with the illegitimate and corrupt system, because it works well for them. It also does not help that over half of the cabinet members in Canada are members of Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum.

So, what can you do about this? Educate yourself, read the documents, spread the knowledge, educate your friends, family and neighbours, so that the people in each Province and Territory can unite to write an actual constitution. The people don't need to ask anyone for permission or a license to do that! For fun, ask your Member of Parliament about these facts and request solid answers! You will likely be ignored, ridiculed and humiliated. Through continued peaceful protests, and by educating our friends and neighbours about the truth, this situation can be rectified and Marxism can be defeated. This truly affects everyone.

However, if anyone believes that any of these facts are incorrect, please don't just show ignorance and spit insults, but present the factual proof that Canada confederated in 1867 (e.g. in the form of official Articles of Confederation or a properly signed Constitution). I'd be happy to hear about it. We can all make mistakes, and maybe there are some documents out there that I missed in my research.

## **References:**

1. *themythiscanada.com*
2. *justice.gc.ca*
3. *thecanadianencyclopedia.ca*
4. *legislation.gov.uk*
5. *parliament.uk*

## **Part 2**

### **Timm Stein**

As we learned from the Article *Canada: The Grand Deception* (published in the June issue of *Druthers*), the land mass referred to as Canada never confederated, does not have a constitution and does not have a Charter of Rights and Freedoms. We further learned that any and all government, British Monarchy, Governors General, as well as any Acts, laws and by-laws became null and void and have been null and void after Queen Victoria died on January 22, 1901. In this article, I would like to present some more information regarding the Confederation and the Articles of Confederation.

### **What exactly does the term “confederation” mean?**

According to the Canadian Encyclopedia, the term confederation “*refers to the process of federal union in which the British North American colonies [...] joined together to form the Dominion of Canada.*” The Cambridge Dictionary defines confederation as “*the joining of regions that had been British to create the independent country of Canada.*” But we know from the article *Canada: The Grand Deception* that a *Dominion* was defined as a British colony, which is in direct conflict with the definition of an “*independent country of Canada.*”

A confederation is an agreement between people from independent countries to work together while remaining independent. In 1867, the colonists were betrayed by Sir John



A. MacDonald. While they were provided with a sense of unification and a false sense of autonomy, they were definitely not given independence from the British Empire.

### **What are Articles of Confederation?**

According to Black's Law Dictionary, Articles of Confederation are "[...] *the compact made between the [...] states of the Union, before the adoption of the present constitution.*" Articles of Confederation are a written agreement that outlines the definitions, as well as the rules, obligations and liabilities for all parties that have decided to confederate, i.e. joining and working together while remaining independent. If the colonies had truly confederated through the BNA Act of 1867, there would be official Articles of Confederation. One might argue that the BNA Act in itself might represent the Articles of Confederation. If that was the case, though, the BNA Act would have been signed by the representatives of the colonies and not Queen Victoria. In addition, the BNA Act clearly states that the colonies were "*to be united [...] under the Crown of the United Kingdom of Great Britain [...]*" and that the union would "*promote the Interests of the British Empire.*" Furthermore, section 9 clearly states that "*the Executive Government and Authority of and over Canada is hereby declared to continue and be vested in the Queen,*" which makes it clear that while the colonies were united, they did not gain independence and autonomy at all.

### **What is a Constitution (Act)?**

According to Black's Law Dictionary a constitution is the "[...] *fundamental law of a nation or state [...], organizing the government, and regulating, distributing, and limiting the functions of its different departments [...]*" The important aspect in this definition is that those who write the Constitution are the ones that organize the government, regulate it and limit its functions.

It has become obvious in recent years that the people of Canada have never been in charge of organizing the government or limiting its functions. The people of Canada did not even write the British North America Act. It was written by the British Parliament in 1871, and the original document has been the property of Great Britain to this day.

In 1982, Pierre Elliott Trudeau renamed the British North America Act and called it the *Constitution Act*. While the Oxford English Dictionary defines the noun "Act" as

*"Something transacted in council, or in a deliberative assembly [...]"*, the people of Canada were not in charge of such a "transaction." And while the Cambridge Dictionary defines the verb *"act"* as *"to do something for a particular purpose [...]"* and *"to perform a part [...]"*, one could also call it *"to pretend."* It seems that P.E. Trudeau *pretended* to provide the people of Canada with a Constitution by *performing* and providing them with nothing more than a clever **illusion** of a true Constitution.

I wanted to get a clear answer to the question if Canada truly confederated. In January of 2023, I filed an ATIP (Access to Information and Privacy) request with Library and Archives Canada, requesting a copy of the original Articles of Confederation from 1867. One year later, I finally received a response.

Interestingly, Library and Archives Canada could not produce the requested document at all and instead referred me to the Reference Services Department. That department confirmed on January 9, 2024, in writing, that *"the BNA Act of 1867 is a British law passed in Great Britain. Given that this is a statute of that country, the original is held in their archives [...]"* The only document that the government was able to produce is a copy of the title page of the BNA Act of 1867 taken from the British Parliament's website! That is the Government of Canada's only proof to the claim that Canada confederated in 1867.

How can a country have confederated, and be a free and independent nation, when its confederation documents (the Articles of Confederation) have been written, controlled and held to this day by the government of a foreign country? It cannot have confederated because that country is then by definition not an independent nation. Remember, the BNA Act of 1867 defined Canada as a *Dominion*, which is defined as a **colony** of Great Britain.

This exercise further strengthens the already overwhelming evidence that **the people on this land mass referred to as Canada have been lied to and robbed for decades. There is no Constitution and there is no independent country called Canada. It has all been an illusion.**

**As we have learned, each Province and Territory has been an independent and free country/state/nation since Queen Victoria died on January 22, 1901. To end the**

**shenanigans and move forward, all that the people of each Province and Territory need to do is hold an assembly and write an actual Constitution (the US Constitution could be used as a great template). Some or all Provinces and Territories could then hold an assembly to confederate into a union and agree on Articles of Confederation. You can ignore the illegitimate Premiers and politicians. You don't need to ask them for permission. The people have been in control since January 22, 1901, and just need to exercise their rights!**

I encourage everyone to file a simple, low-cost ATIP online request (<https://atip-aiprp.apps.gc.ca/atip/welcome.do>) with Library and Archives Canada and the Reference Services Department to request a certified copy of the original Articles of Confederation.

If anyone believes that these facts are incorrect, please present the evidence that proves the opposite (e.g. official Articles of Confederation signed by representatives of each independent Province and Territory, and a valid Constitution signed by the people). I'd be happy to hear about it.

## **References:**

1. *<https://www.thecanadianencyclopedia.ca/en/article/confederation>*
2. *<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/confederation>*
3. *Library and Archives Canada and Reference Services Department*
4. *Black's Law Dictionary*
5. *Oxford English Dictionary*
6. *Cambridge Dictionary*

Image: [Source](#)

Original Article:

Part 1: <https://www.activistpost.com/2024/01/canada-the-grand-deception-part-1.html> |

Part2: <https://www.activistpost.com/2024/01/canada-the-grand-deception-part-2.html>

## Subscribe to Truth11.com

Receive Articles By Email • Support Independent Media

 **Subscribe now**

Support Truth11.com • Make A Donation

• Or Become A Monthly Subscriber

